Woodward-Clyde Consultants

ELULE UME UME COMPLIANCE

FINAL REPORT ON THE 8T REMEDIATION AT THE DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY TORRANCE (C6) FACILITY

Prepared by:

Woodward-Clyde Consultants 203 North Golden Circle Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705

> Project No. 8741863D 4 March 1988



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	APPROACH	3
3.0	FIELD OPERATIONS 3.1 Task I 3.2 Task II 3.3 Task III 3.4 Task IV 3.5 Soil Stockpile	4 4 5
4.(SUMMARY	7
	LIST OF FIGURES	
1 2	C6 Facility Location Map	
	LIST OF TABLES	
1 2 3	Soil Petroleum Hydrocarbon Concentrations	5
	LIST OF APPENDICES	
A	FIELD PROCEDURES	
В	BORINGS LOGS	
Ċ	MACCO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	
D	WCC ANALYTICAL RESULTS	
E	LETTER TO L.A. REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD	

FINAL REPORT ON THE 8T REMEDIATION AT THE DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY TORRANCE (C6) FACILITY


1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to present the results of the investigation conducted at Tank 8T at Douglas Aircraft Company's C6 facility, and the method of remediation that was implemented. The sequence of activities leading to the remediation is discussed in this section. In the other sections of this report, a discussion of the field operations conducted, and the analytical results obtained during the program are discussed.

On 11 March 1987, petroleum hydrocarbon odors were noticed during the removal of Tank 8T at the Torrance, C6 facility (see Figure 1). Tank 8T was a 10,000 gallon waste coolant tank. During the removal of an adjacent concrete sump that drained into the tank, approximately 200 to 400 gallons of liquid were released into the north end of the excavation.

On 11 and 17 March, 1987, six soil samples were collected from the bottom of the excavation by personnel working for Macco Construction Company. The analytical results obtained from these soil samples indicated that hydrocarbons were present in the soil, but they were limited to the north end of the excavation. Laboratory analysis reports of these soil samples are presented in Appendix C.

Woodward-Clyde Consultants conducted a preliminary investigation to evaluate the vertical extent of hydrocarbons. This investigation involved drilling two angled borings (B-1



WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS

and B-2) under the north end of the excavation, and one angled boring (B3) under the south end of the excavation. Figure 2 presents the boring locations. Results from this investigation are presented in Woodward-Clyde Consultants' report "Evaluation of the Presence of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in the Subsurface at Tank 8T at DAC's Torrance Facility," dated August 1987. Analytical results from soil samples collected from borings B-1, B-2, and B-3 indicated that the petroleum hydrocarbons were limited to the north end of the excavation, and extended to a vertical depth of approximately 15 to 20 feet below the bottom of the excavation (31 feet below grade). A summary of the soil hydrocarbon concentrations is presented in Table 1. Analytical results and chain-of-custody forms are presented in Appendix D.

Woodward-Clyde Consultants initially recommended excavating with elevated hydrocarbon concentrations soil remediating the soil by the Ensotech treatment process. This process basically involves mixing the soil with liquid catalyst, hydrogen peroxide and a resulting oxidization of the hydrocarbons to carbon dioxide and water. A Variance Application for a permit to conduct on-site treatment of hazardous waste was submitted to the California Department of Health Services (DHS). The permit was never approved for this process because of unresolved issues between the state and local regulatory health agencies regarding who would approve variance applications (telephone conversation of 19 November 1987 between Alistaire Callender of Woodward-Clyde Consultants and Susan Romero of the DHS). Because a variance could not be obtained, the soil with elevated hydrocarbon concentrations was disposed of at the Imperial Valley Landfill, and was not remediated on-site as initially intended.




TABLE 1
SOIL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATIONS (mg/kg)

Boring Number	Sample Date	Sample Number	Depth ¹ (ft)	Gasoline	Diesel Fuel	Kerosene	Mineral Spirits	C ₁₈ -C ₃₀₊ Hydrocarbons
в1	4/10/87	3	12	ND ²	ND	3030	ND	1300
B1	4/10/87	5	18	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	4/10/87	6	21	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B1 B1	4/10/87	7	26	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	47 10701	······						
82	4/10/87	2	12	ND	ND	· ND	ND	ND
B2	4/10/87	3	17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B2	4/10/87	4	22	ND	ND	95 00	ND	970 0
B2	4/10/87	5	27	ND	ND	1900	ND	2800
B2	4/10/87	6	31	ND	ND	ND	ND	4600
B2	4/10/87	7	38	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B3	4/10/87	1	21	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
в3	4/10/87	2	26	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
В3	4/10/87	3	30	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	12/1/07	3	12	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B4	12/1/87				ND	ND	ND	ND
B4	12/1/87	4	17	ND		6400	ND	27,000
B4	12/1/87	5	22	ND	ND			360
В4	12/1/87	6	27	ND	ND	72	ND	ND
B4	12/1/87	7	32	ND	ND	ND	ND	טא
В5	12/17/87	1	17	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
			22	ND	ND	150	ND	1000
B5	12/17/87	2		ND	ND	1100	ND	5800
B5	12/17/87	3	27		ND ND	4200	ND	5900
B5 .	12/17/87	4	32	ND		4200 ND	ND ND	ND
B5	12/17/87	5	37	ND	ND	NU	NU	ND ND
SP	4/10/87	1		ND	ND	ND	ND	31 0
SP	4/10/87	ż		ND	ND	ND	ND	680
<u> </u>	47 107 07	-						
8TPL	12/1/87	3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
8TPL	12/1/87	5		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
8TPL	12/1/87	7		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	40.42.07			LID.	ND	ND	ND	550
Sample	12/17/87	1		ND	ND	ND	ND ND	540
Sample	12/17/87	2		ND	ND			ND ND
Sample	12/17/87	3		ND	ND	ND	ND	NU
SP	1/5/88	1	30	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
		3.	3 5	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	160
SP	1/5/88	2 ¹ 3	22	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP	1/5/88	3				ND	ND	ND
SP	1/5/88	4	30	ND	ND		ND	ND
SP	1/5/88	5	35	ND	ND	ND	טא	NU
Trench	1/8/88	1A	8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection	limit		10	10	10	10	100	

¹ Depth to nearest foot

² Not Detected

⁽L-ABC/DAC8T-1)

2.0 APPROACH

The approach to remediating the soil at Tank 8T involved four tasks. Task I included drilling two additional borings (B4 and B5) and soil sampling at the north end of the excavation, to further evaluate the vertical extent of hydrocarbons in the soil. Task II involved the actual excavation of soil from the north end of the excavation using as a quide, OVA headspace readings, and laboratory analysis of soil samples from the excavation, and visual observations of the soil. Task III involved the collection of samples from the sidewalls and bottom of the excavation to evaluate if all of the soil with elevated hydrocarbon concentrations had been removed. Task IV included backfilling the excavation with a cement slurry to provide a backfill material that would not require compaction. general approach was submitted in a letter to Mr. Copeland of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, dated 29 December 1987, and verbally approved by Mr. Copeland on 31 December 1987. A copy of this letter is attached as Appendix E.

3.0 FIELD OPERATIONS

3.1 Task I

As a part of Task I, two additional borings (B-4 and B-5) were drilled on 1 and 17 December 1987. The locations of borings B-4 and B-5 are shown in Figure 2. Drilling for both borings was conducted by A&R Drilling, Inc. using a CME 75 drill rig with 7-inch O.D. hollow stem augers. Soil samples were collected at approximately 5-foot intervals using a modified California sampler, and sealed in brass tubes. Appendix A presents additional information on the field procedures. Boring Logs for B-1 through B-5 are presented in Appendix B. Soil samples were selected for

analyses based on Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) headspace readings and field observations. The soil samples were analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8015 modified. Analytical data and chain-of-custody forms from borings B-4 and B-5 are provided in Appendix D and summarized in Table 1. Analytical data from B-4 and B-5 indicated the presence of hydrocarbons to a vertical depth of 32 to 35 feet below the ground surface.

3.2 Task II

Task II involved the excavation of soil from 8T on 4 January 1988 by G.L. Patterson Equipment, Inc. A GradeAll G-1000 with a superboom extension was used for excavating the soil. The soil to be excavated could be generally identified by its greenish-gray color. An OVA was used during the excavation to obtain headspace readings from the soil, and to assist in evaluating if hydrocarbons were present.

3.3 Task III

Task III was completed on 5 January 1988 and consisted of the collection of five soil samples from the sidewalls and the bottom of the excavation. The task objective was to evaluate whether all the soil with elevated hydrocarbons had been removed. On 5 January 1988, samples SP-1 and -2 were collected from the bottom of the excavation, while SP-3, -4, and -5 were collected from the sidewalls. Analytical results obtained from SP-1, -2, -3, -4, and -5 are presented in Appendix D and summarized in Table 1. The sampling locations of SP-1, -2, -3, -4, and -5 are presented on Figure 2.

During excavation of the northwest sidewall, a cast-iron 4 to 5 inch diameter tank inlet pipe was exposed, approximately 6 to 7 feet below grade. A 6- to 12- inch

band of greenish-gray staining was observed directly below the pipe. On 8 January 1988, 10 feet of the tank inlet pipe was removed by California Backhoe, Inc. The excavated trench was approximately 8 feet in depth. A greenish-gray discoloration was observed 6 to 12 inches below the pipe and 6 to 8 feet laterally into the trench backfill. One soil sample (Trench-1A) was collected from below the discolored soil at a depth of approximately 8 feet to evaluate the vertical extent of hydrocarbons. Soil with elevated OVA headspace measurements was removed from the excavation. Analytical results for soil sample Trench 1A are presented Appendix D and summarized in Table illustrates the sampling location.

3.4 Task IV

Task IV activities consisted of backfilling and resurfacing the excavation, and were initiated after the analytical results confirmed that soil with elevated hydrocarbons had been removed. On 6 January 1988, A&A Ready Mix Concrete, Inc. poured 400 cubic yards of a 2-sack cement slurry into the 8T excavation. The slurry was placed to a level about 4-1/2 feet below the existing grade. On 12 January 1988, 3 feet of soil was compacted over the cement slurry. upper 1 foot of soil was compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined in accordance with Test Method D1557 (i.e., 95 percent compaction). Soil used for compaction was taken from the "clean" soil (soil with no detectable petroleum hydrocarbons) that was removed from the excavation. Analytical results from soil samples collected from the soil piles are discussed in Section 3.5. The excavation was resurfaced with 5 to 6 inches of asphalt, over 10 to 12 inches of crushed aggregate base material on 19 January 1988

TABLE 2 FIELD DENSITY TEST RESULTS

JOB NAME: Douglas Aircraft Company, Torrance, 8T Excavation JOB NUMBER: 8741863D

Date	Test Number	Location	Field Moisture % Dry Weight	Field Dry Density (pcf)	Laboratory Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Relative Compaction % of Lab Density
12 January 1988	1	8T Pad	18.5	118	121	98
12 January 1988	2	8T Pad	19.0	120	121	99
12 January 1988	3	8T Pad	15.5	116	121	96
12 January 1988	4	8T Pad	17.5	120	121	99
18 January 1988	5	8T Pad	16.0	115	121	95
18 January 1988	6	8T Pad	16.0	113	121	93
19 January 1988	7	Base Material	6.0	136	143	95
19 January 1988	8	Base Material	6.0	142	143	99
19 January 1988	9	Base Material	6.0	137	143	96

Sully-Miller Contractors. The crushed aggregate base material was compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction (see Table 2).

Laboratory soil compaction tests were conducted on three soil samples, SK-1, -2, and -3 to estimate the maximum dry density and the optimum moisture content (see Table 3). Soil samples SK-1 and SK-2 were from the "clean" soil pile used for compaction from 1-1/2 to 4-1/2 feet below grade, and soil sample SK-3 was from the crushed aggregate base material.

3.5 Soil Stockpile

Soil excavated from the 8T excavation was segregated into two piles. The soil with elevated petroleum hydrocarbons was evaluated and segregated by OVA headspace measurements, visual discoloration, hydrocarbon odor, and laboratory analysis.

Eight soil samples from the soil stockpiles were analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8015 modified. Soil samples (SP-1, SP-2, Sample-1 and Sample -2) collected on 10 March 1987, and 17 December respectively. These soil samples were collected from the "dirty" soil stockpile and ranged in concentration from 310 to 680 mg/kg of C_{18} - C_{30+} hydrocarbons. Soil samples 8TPL-3, 5, 7, and Sample-3 were collected on 1 December 1987 and 17 December 1987, respectively. These samples were collected from the "clean" soil stockpile and did not indicate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons (i.e., hydrocarbons were not detected). Analytical results are summarized in Table 1, and laboratory data sheets are presented in Appendix D. Approximately 180 cubic yards of soil with elevated

TABLE 3

LABORATORY COMPACTION TEST¹ RESULTS

	Sample	Soil Type ²	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture Content (%)
=	SK-1	Silty Clay (CL)	122	11.5
	SK-2	Silty Clay (CL)	127	10.0
	SK-3	Base Material	143	4.5

¹ ASTM Test Method D1557

² Unified Soil Classification given in parenthesis

hydrocarbon concentrations were removed from the 8T excavation. The soil was taken to a landfill in Imperial Valley, California on 25 and 26 January 1988.

4.0 SUMMARY

Analytical results were obtained from samples collected from borings B-4 and B-5 installed on 1 December and 17 December 1987, and indicated a maximum vertical depth of petroleum hydrocarbons of 32 to 35 feet below grade. Soil from the north end of the 8T excavation was removed to a depth of approximately 35 feet below grade on 4 January 1988. Five soil samples were collected on 5 January 1988 from the sidewalls and bottom of the excavation to confirm that the soil containing hydrocarbons had been removed from the Analytical results did not indicate detectable quantities of petroleum hydrocarbons in samples SP-1, -3, -4, and -5. Sample SP-2 indicated the presence of 160 mg/kg of C15-C30 hydrocarbons at the bottom of the excavation. Analytical results from the soil sample, Trench collected from below the 8T tank inlet pipe did not indicate the presence of hydrocarbons. A summary of the analytical results are listed in Table 1, and Appendix D presents the Soil laboratory data sheets. from the excavation "dirty" stockpiles. segregated into "clean" and samples collected from the "dirty" stockpile indicated the presence of hydrocarbons ranging from 310 to 680 mg/kg. Soil samples from the "clean" stockpile do not indicate the presence of hydrocarbons. An estimated 180 cubic yards of soil containing hydrocarbons was removed from the tank and inlet pipe excavations and hauled to Imperial Landfill on 25 and 26 January 1988 by IT Corporation.

On 6 January 1988, Mr. Jeff Copeland of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, visited the 8T site to inspect the soil excavation and to review analytical data obtained from soil samples SP-1, -2, -3, -4, and -5. Mr. Copeland verbally approved that the excavation had sufficiently removed the hydrocarbons from the soil, and that the excavation could be backfilled.

The excavation was backfilled with 400 cubic yards of a 2 sack cement slurry to 4-1/2 feet below grade and with 3 feet of soil compacted to 95 percent to 1-1/2 feet below grade. The excavation was resurfaced and brought to grade with 5 to 6 inches of asphalt over 10 to 12 inches of crushed aggregate base material.

APPENDIX A

FIELD PROCEDURES

APPENDIX A

FIELD PROCEDURES

A.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Drilling was performed by A & R Drilling, Inc. of Carson, California. Borings B-1, -2, and -3 were auger drilled on 10 April 1987. Boring B-4 and B-5 were drilled on 1 December 1987 and 17 December 1987, respectively. Borings were drilled using a CME 75 with a 7-inch hollow stem auger.

A.1.1 Soil Borings

Soil borings were generally drilled from 30 to 50 feet in depth. Borings were backfilled with a dry mixture of 75 percent No. 60 Silica sand and 25 percent of bentonite powder after completion of soil sampling. The dry mixture of bentonite and sand serves as a low permeability plug to minimize water infiltration through the borehole. The top 6 inches of the borings were backfilled with asphalt.

A.1.2 Drill Cuttings

Drill cuttings from each boring were placed in 55-gallon drums. The contents of the drums were labeled using an identification label and permanent ink marker. The drums were sealed and left adjacent to the boring locations. Douglas Aircraft was advised of the locations and contents of the drums, and the need for proper management of the drill cuttings.

A.2 SOIL SAMPLING

Subsurface soil samples were collected at about 5-foot intervals. The Boring Logs, presented in Appendix B, illustrate the soil sampling locations for each boring. Soil samples were taken for Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA) headspace measurements and laboratory analyses. The soil samples were collected using a modified California sampler for borings drilled by the CME 75. The modified California sampler holds four brass tubes, and is 18 inches in length.

Soil samples from the soils pile stockpiled adjacent to the excavation were collected by the "grab" sampling method. This method simply involved packing loose soil from the stockpile into a brass tube for laboratory analysis.

A.2.1 OVA Headspace Measurements

Field OVA headspace measurements were taken from each soil sample. This procedure was conducted by extruding approximately one brass or stainless steel tube from each soil sample into a one pint glass jar. The jar's lid had a 1/4-inch diameter hole, which was sealed with duct tape. Approximately 10 minutes were allowed for organic vapors from the soil to reach equilibrium inside the jar. An OVA probe was then inserted through the hole in the jar, and the vapor concentration was measured.

A.2.2 Soil Sample Preparation

One to two tubes from the soil sampler were prepared for laboratory analysis. The ends of the tubes were covered with aluminum foil, plastic end caps, and sealed with electrical tape. Soil samples were labeled with the following information:

- o. Project number
- o Project name
- o Boring number
- o Sample number
- o Soil depth
- o Sampler's signature
- o Date

The soil samples were then sealed in Ziploc plastic bags and placed on ice in an ice chest. All of the soil samples were delivered to West Coast Analytical Service, Inc. in Santa Fe Springs for laboratory analysis. Chain-of-custody procedures, including the use of sample identification labels and chain-of-custody forms, were used for tracking the collection and shipment of soil samples. The chain-of-custody forms are presented in Appendix D.


A.2.3 Field Observations


Observations by Woodward-Clyde Consultants' personnel during the drilling and sampling operations were recorded on Boring Logs, as presented in Appendix B. A qualified geologist recorded observations related to visual soil classifications, geologic and stratigraphic comments, sampling efforts, OVA measurements, and other pertinent information.

APPENDIX B

BORING LOGS

OF N	B-1 (8T) See figure 2		ELEV	TION ATUM	nggagagaga nggagagagagagagagagagaga	Not Available	amenuemberaan se
ALL.		ILLEA S. Kosfer	PATE		4-10-87	PATENED 4-10-	87
HI	CME 75, 7-inch O.D., H.S.A.		COMP	ETION (FT)	30	DEPTH(FT)	-
TO T	ER AND TYPE N/A	TOCAS SAPARES (TOCAS AND ENTIRE ENTIRE ENTIRE AND	N	MPLESI	DIST	UNDIST 7 CORE	_
4	Se a 71004			TER	FIRSY _	COMPL: _ 24 HF	\\$
Y	LL 80% No. 60 Silica Sand and 20% Ben	tonite Powder		ED BY:		CHECKED BY	
G ?	Asphalt	COLLICE , OAA GET		B. Ja	cobs	M. Razmdjo	0
	well and the second and the second control of the second and an experience of the second and the	GRAPHIC	LOG	SAM	LES		
(FEET)	DESCRIPTION	J. Spark	ν (0.00		Count Drilling Rate/ Time	REMARKS	
	Asphalt					Background OV/ reading = 1-2 pp	
	Damp, light olive, very fine to medium grain SAND (SW-SM) with little silt.	ned	4 1			reading = 1-2 pp	1111
5		+				·	
10 -	Damp, olive, SILTY CLAY (CL) with some sand.	fine	4	2		Oily Odor	
15	Damp, olive with black mottling, CLAYEY (ML) with fine sand.	SILT	16	3		Oily Odor	
20 -	Damp, reddish brown, SANDY SILT (MC).		26 64	4 5	-	Oily Odor	
25 -	Increasing sand. Becoming clayey.	+	14	6		Oily Odor	
			13	7		Slight Odor	
30 -	Bottom of Boring at 30 feet. Total Vertical Depth at 27 feet.					Boring drilled at 25 vertical. Bearing S1	
35 -					THE COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF		gamenneris et let e
Proj	ect: DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT	B-1 (8T)	106	OF	BORI	NG	Fig
•	TORRANCE ect No.: 418638	P-1 (01)		O1	DOM	17 🗸	A-





Ca A	B-3 (8T) See Figure 2			ELEZZ AND			. Y	201-478-3018	#5#5##C 177
	NG A&R Drilling DAILL	EA S. Kos	fer	PA A		4.10.	en e	PATE FINISHED 4-10-5	3.7
	CME 75, 7-inch O.D., H.S.A.	- The state of the			ÊTIO	N	35	POCK -	
	L CASING N/A			OF SA	O	TOIS	_	UNDIST 3 CORE	
	N/A N/A	The second secon		WA	TEA H (FT	FIR	S T_	COMPL 24 HF	Š _
TO S	Fig. 30% No. 60 Silica Sand and 20% Bent	onite Powder		LOGG	ED BY	,		CHECKED BY	
SEAL.	Asphait				Section in	Jacob		M. Razmadjo	DC
IC		GRAZ	HIC LO	og		MPLE:			
DEPTH (FEET)	DESCRIPTION	288	2 \$	< E		3 5	Drilling Rete/ Time	用EMARKS	
0 =			5 2	8.	2 5	≛ೆ	<u> </u>	Background OV	Λ
	Asphalt Damp, reddish-brown, SANDY-SILT (ML) with							reading = 2.4 pp	
1	some gravel.	Ī							
1	Damp, dark brown, CLAYEY SILT (ML) with								
	some sand.	‡							
5 -		‡							
		‡							
-		‡							
		Ŧ							
		1							
10 -		<u> </u>	1						
1		‡							
-		‡							
		‡		1 1					
15 -		‡							
	Damp, olive, CLAYEY SILT to SILTY CLAY								
•	(ML-CL).	Ī	-						
-		1							
•		· 🖠 -							
20 -		‡							
•		‡							
		‡							
3		‡				$\frac{1}{2}$			
•		‡		7.0	1 X	1		Slight to no od	or.
25 -		‡				7			
		‡							
-		†							
. •		Ī		8.0	2 🗙	7		Slight to no oc	dor
		1		0.0	1	4		Stight to no ot	101.
30 -		‡							
		‡							
-		7							
	Damp, brown, fine grained SAND (SP-SM) with	- - ‡	1	4.6	3	1		No Odor.	
35 -	little silt.	Ţ		-	H	+	+	Boring drilling at 3	25° frai
- -	Bottom of Boring at 35 feet. Total Vertical Depth at 31.5 feet.	Ī						vertical. Bearing N	
		<u> </u>						The state of the s	Market
Pro	oct: DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT	D 0 (0T)		00	∩ r		BORI	NG	Fig.
	ject: TORRANCE ject No.: 41863B	B-3 (8T)	ı	_06	υr	ב	ואטכ	110	A-4
	1-0783-235A				,	w 00	DWAR	D-CLYDE CONSUL	TANTS

BORNIC 19.3' N. Bidg. 28 & 6' N. of Excavation (Se	e Figure	e)	EL Al	EVATION (D. DAT)	i Ju		Not Avalable
OFFILLING A & R Drilling, Inc. PRILLER N DRILLING EQUIPMENT CME 75	И. Smi		ST CC DE	TE ARTED MPLETI PTH (ft	12-1 2N 5	1-87 50.6	0415 FINISHED 12-1-3 ROCK DEPTH (81)
No OF DIST. A UNDIST. 1A COST	N/A PE _		_ B Ç	AMETER PRING (I GGED B	<u>г.)</u> Г		DIAMETER OF THE CHECKED BY
ISAMPLES	HRS	-			н. Rey 	es	B. Jacobs
DESCRIPTION OESCRIPTION	WELL LOG	No.		Blow Count Count		Orllling Rate (Time)	REMARKS
Asphalt. Maist, dark yellowish brown, SILTY SAND. - Moist, dark yellowish brown, CLAY (CH).			J	B			Background OVA reading = 2-4 ppm
5		1	X	N/R	3	0905	No odor.
Becomes yellowish brown with trace of organics, decomposed roots.		2	X	30	3	0913	No oder.
Medium dense, moist, olive brown, very fine grained SILTY SAND (SM) to SANDY SILT (ML).		3	X	17	10	0920	No odor.
Becomes alive gray.		4	X	18	210	0950	Moderate hydroparcan odor.
25		5	X	21	325	1005	Moderate hydrocarbin odor.
Color changes to dark alive brown.		6	X	22	б	200	Slight to moderate hydrocarbon.
Dense, moist, light olive brown, fine grained, SILTY SAND (SM).		7	X	40	6	1035	No odor.
Les silt. Project: DOUGLAS - TORRANCE							5.5
Project: DOUGLAS - TORRANCE Project No.: 8741863C		LC)G	OF	BOR	ING	B-4 (8T) $A.5$

DEPTH (feet)	DESCRIPTION	WELL LOG	Ö.	Туре	Blo ¥ Count).v.A. (ppm)	Drilling Rate (T.)	, REMIARKS
40-	(continued) Very dense, moist, light olive brown, fine to medium grained SAND (SP-SM) to SILTY SAND.		8	X	56		1054	No odor.
	Dense, very moist, yellowish brown, fine grained CLAYEY SAND (SC), with shell fragments				70	1.0	1110	Nia ada
45	Stiff to very stiff, alive to alive brown,		9	X	39	12	1110	No oder.
50-	Bottom of Boring at 50.6 feet.		10	X	36	12	1150	No odor. Angle drilled 22°
-	Bottoni of Borning at 30.0 feet.	+						due south.
55-		+						
60-		†						
		† †						
65.		+						
70-		+						
75.		+			To the state of th			
80	† † † † † †	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH				
_	piect: DOUGLAS — TORRANCE	<u> </u>						<u> </u>
,		CONT	L	00) OF	BOR	ang	B-4 (8T)
	8741863C						WC.	00WAR0-01:05 0:05 0:05

BORING B-5 (BT) See Figure AND DATUM OPPLIANCE AND DATUM CRILLING AND DATUM DATUM DATUM AND DATUM BATE STARTED DRILLING COMPLETION COMPLETION COMPLETION DEPTH (#1) TYPE OF WELL CASING N/A SCREEN PERFORATION N/A BORING (in.) No OF DIST. UNDIST. 6 CORE LOGGED BY	12-17-87 45.6	PATE 12-17-87
DRILLING EQUIPEMENT CME 75, 7—Inch H.S.A. COMPLETION DEPTH (#) INPE OF MELL CASING N/A SCREEN PERFORATION N/A BORING (in.)	45.6	
TYPE OF N/A SCREEN N/A BORING (in.)		ROCK — DEPTH (ft)
	7	DIAMETER OF
SAMPLES	Jacobs	снескей ву P. Glaesman
WATER FIRST _ COMPL _ 24 HRS DEPTH (ft)	000005	1. 010031: 311
SAMPLE INFORMATION HELL INFORMATION LOG ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	Drilling Rate (Time)	REMARKS
Asphalt Slightly damp, reddish brown, SANDY SILT (SM), with some CLAY. 5- Damp, reddish black, SILTY CLAY (CL).	0800	Background OVA reading = 4-6 ppm
Damp, light olive brown to light yellowish brown SILTY CLAY to CLAYEY SILT (ML-CL).		
Damp, grayish olive to olive gray, SANDY SILT	20	No odor.
(SM-ML), with some CLAY.		Strong hydrocarbon odor.
30 Becoming more clayey.		Strong to moderate hydrocarbon odor.
Damp, dusky yellow, SANDY SILT (SM-ML), with some CLAY.	30 0910	Slight hydrocarben odor.
Project.DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT-TORRANCE LOG OF	BORING :	B-5 (8T) Fig.
Project No.: 87418630	DOMING	D-3 (61)

DEPTH (feet)	DESCRIPTION	WELL LOG	, ON	Туре	Blow Count	0.V.A. (ppm)	Drilling Rate (T.)	REMARKS
40	Damp, dusky yellow, SANDY SILT (SM). Damp, moderate brown to moderate yellowish brown, fine grained SAND (SP), with little silt.		5	X		9		No odor.
45-			6	X		7	0945	No odor. Note: Angle drilled
	Bottom of Boring at 45.6 feet.	-					And the second s	at 22° due south.
50-		† † †						
55_		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +						
60-		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +					-	
65-		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +						
70-		+						
75		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +						
80		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +						
	t ojectDOUGLAS AIRCRAFT—TORRANCE oject No.: 8741863C	CON	Γ. L	.00	G OF	BOF		B-5 (8T) Fig. A-8

APPENDIX C MACCO ANALYTICAL RESULTS



certified testing laboratories, inc.

2905 EAST CENTURY BLVD. • SOUTH GATE, CALIF. 90280 • (213) 564-2641

LABORATORY NO ____85679 _______

REPORTED 03-23-87

CLIENT

Mc Donnell Douglas

SAMPLED

19503 S. Normandie Avenue

Torrance, CA 90502

RECEIVED 03-12-87

Attn: Don Gerber C6-13

SAMPLE

Soil

MARKS

03-11-87 11:00 am 8T Salvage yard P.O. #7BZ683591

BASED ON SAMPLE As received

RESULTS

Method 418.1

South End of Tank

<15

mg/Kg

North End of Tank

2000

mg/Kg

Respectfully submitted, CERTIFIED TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

This report applies only to the sample, or samples, investigated and is not necessarily indicative of the quality or condition of accarent . identical or similar products. As a mutual protection to clients, the public and these Laboratories, this report is submitted and accepted for the exclusive use of the client to whom it is addressed and upon the condition that it is not to be used, in whole or in part in any a Leging in a or publicity matter without prior written authorization from these Laboratories.



RECEIVED MAR 1 8 1987

GEOTEST

An Environmental Monitoring and Testing Service

FIELD LABORATORY RESULTS REPORT

PREPARED FOR

CONCRETE CUTTING - MCDONNELL DOUGLAS

ANALYSIS OF HYDROCARBON CONTENT BY INFRARED SPECTROMETRY EPA METHOD 418.1

DATE RECEIVED

March 11, 1987

DATE OF ANALYSIS : March 11, 1987

PROJECT NUMBER

: 86515-04

SAMPLE ID #

CONCENTRATION

(mg/kg)

86515-04-1

1.4 So. END 21

86515-04-2

270. FILL END 2' (NOIZTE

Analyst: RB

Reviewed & Approved: Har Thursonte-Duck

*NOTE: Samples were received in a chilled state, intact and with Chain-of-Custody attached.



GEOTEST

An Environmental Monitoring and Testing Service

PIELD LABORATORY RESULTS REPORT

PREPARED FOR

CONCRETE CUTTING - MC DONNELL DOUGLAS

ANALYSIS OF HYDROCARBON CONTENT BY INFRARED SPECTROMETRY

EPA METHOD 418.1

DATE RECEIVED : 03/17/87
DATE OF ANALYSIS : 03/17/87
PROJECT NUMBER : 86513-04

SAMPLE ID #

CONCENTRATION

(mg/kg)

86513-04-03

3286. FILL EN

86513-04-04

1725.

Analyst:

Reviewed & Approved:

*NOTE: Samples were received in a chilled state, intact and with

Chain-of-Custody attached.

GEOTEST is a division of GEOSERVICES, a California corporation

Post Office Box 90911 Long Beach, California 90809-0911 (213) 498-9515 (800) 624-5744

APPENDIX D WCC ANALYTICAL RESULTS

April 17, 1987

WOODWARD-CLYDE 203 N. Golden Circle Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Allistair Callendar

JOB NO. 5664



ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS

LABORATORY REPORT

Samples: Forty (40) soil samples

Date Received: 4-10-87

Purchase Order No: Project 41863B

Fifteen (15) soil samples were analyzed for hydrocarbon content according to a modified EPA method 8015. The results are reported in the following table.

Page 1 of 2

Jim Bonde Senior Chemist

B. Mula Hovane

D.J. Northington, Ph.D. Technical Director

WEST COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICE, INC.

Woodward-Clyde Mr. Allistair Callendar Job # 5664 April 17, 1987

LABORATORY REPORT

Parts Per Million

Sample No.	<u>Gasoline</u>	Diesel <u>Fuel</u>	Kerosene	Mineral Spirits	C ₁₈ -C ₃₀₊ Hydrocarbon
B1-1-3-3	ND	ND	3030	ND	1300
B1-1-5-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B1-1-6-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B1-1-7-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B2-2-2-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B2-2-3-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B2-2-4-3	ND	ND.	9500	ND	9 700
B2-2-5-3	ND	ND	1900	ND	2800
B2-2-7-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B3-3-1-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B3-3-2-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B3-3-3-3	ND 1	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP-1	ND	ND	ND	ND	310
Detection					
Limit	10	10	10	10	100
Sample		Diesel		Mineral	C9-C30+
No.	<u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Fuel</u>	<u>Kerosene</u>	<u>Spirits</u>	Hydrocarbon
B2-2-6-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	4600
SP-2	ND	ND	ND	ND	6 80
Detection Limit	10	10	10	10	100

Date Analyzed: 4-16-1987

ND - Not Detected

Page 2 of 2



December 9, 1987

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS 203 N. Golden Circle Dr. Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Alistaire Callender

7933 JOB NO.

"A"

LABORATORY REPORT

Samples Received: Twenty-eight (28) soil samples

Date Received: 12-2-87 Purchase Order No: 8741863C

The samples were analyzed as follows:

Samples Analyzed

Analysis

Results

Eight (8) soil

Fuel Hydrocarbons by modified EPA 8015

Table I

Page 1 of 2

James Bonde Senior Chemist D.J. Northington, Ph.D. Technical Director

WEST COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICE, INC.

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS Mr.Alistaire Callender

Job # 7933 December 9, 1987

LABORATORY REPORT


TABLE I Parts Per Million

Sample No.	<u>Gasoline</u>	Mineral Spirits	Kerosene	Diesel Fuel	C ₂₀ -C ₃₀ <u>Hydrocarbons</u>
7-3-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
7-4-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
7-5-3	ND	ND	6400	ND	27,000
7-6-3	ND	ND	72	ND	360
7-7-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
8TPL-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	TR
8TPL-5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
STPL-7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit	10	10	10	10	100

ND-Not Detected TR-Trace

Date Analyzed: 12-7-87 to 12-8-87 Page 2 of 2

December 22, 1987



WEST COAST ANALYTICAL SERVICE, INC.

ANALYTICAL CHEM.

"F"

WOODWARD-CLYDE 203 N. Golden Circle Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Alistaire Callendar

JOB NO. 8092

LABORATORY REPORT

Samples Received: Thirteen (13) soil samples

Date Received: 12-17-87

Purchase Order No: 8741863C/Douglas Aircraft

The samples were analyzed as follows:

Samples Analyzed Analysis

Results

8 soils

Fuel Hydrocarbons by Modified EPA 8015

Table I

TABLE I

Parts Per Million

Sample	<u>Gasoline</u>	Mineral Spirits	<u>Kerosene</u>	Diesel <u>Fuel</u>	C ₂₀ -C ₃₀ Hydrocarbons
1	ND	ND	ND	ND	5 50
2	ND	ND	ND	ND	540
3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B-5-1-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
B-5-2-4	ND	ND	150	ND	1000
B-5-3-3	ND	ND	1100	ND	5800
B-5-4-3	ND	ND	4200	ND	5 900
B-5-5-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection	ı				
Limit	10	10	10	10	. 100

Date Analyzed: 12/19-20/87 Page 1 of 1

James Bonde Senior Chemist

D.J. Northington, Ph.D. Technical Director

January 6, 1988

WOODWARD-CLYDE

203 N. Golden Circle Drive

Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Allistair Callendar

JOB NO. 8216

LABORATORY REPORT

Samples Received: Five (5) soil samples

Date Received: 1-5-88

Purchase Order No: Proj: 8741863C/Douglas Aircraft

The samples were analyzed as follows:

<u>Samples Analyzed</u> <u>Analysis</u> <u>Results</u>

Five soils Fuel Hydrocarbons by modified EPA 8015 Table I

TABLE I

Parts Per Million

Sample No.	<u>Gasoline</u>	Mineral Spirits	<u>Kerosene</u>	Diesel <u>Fuel</u>	C ₁₅ -C ₃₀ Hydrocarbons
SP-1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP-2	ND	ND	ND	ND	160
SP-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP-4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP-5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Lim	it 10	10	10	10	100

ND - Not Detected

Date Analyzed: 1-5-88 Page 1 of 1

James Bonde D.C. Senior Chemist

D.J. Northington, Ph.D. Technical Director

January 14, 1988

WOODWARD-CLYDE 203 N. Golden Circle Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Allistair Callendar

JOB NO. 8253



ANALYTICAL CHEST.

LABORATORY REPORT

Samples Received: One (1) soil sample in duplicate & one (1)

water sample in duplicate

Date Received: 1-8-88

Purchase Order No: 8741863C-1000/Douglas Aircraft

The samples were analyzed as follows:

Samples Analyzed Analysis Results

One water Volatile Organics

by EPA 8240 Data Sheets

One soil Fuel Hydrocarbons by

modified EPA 8015 Table I

TABLE I

Parts Per Million

Sample No.	<u>Gasoline</u>	Diesel <u>Fuel</u>	Kerosene	Mineral Spirits
Trench 1A	ND	ND	ND	ND
Detection Limit	10	10	10	10

ND - Not Detected

Date Analyzed: 1-11-88 Page 1 of 1

Michael Shelton Senior Chemist

D. J. Northington, Ph. D. Technical Director

The state of the s	egenemente de l'estate en en personal de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la co	W	oodw 7	ard-C	Hyde C	onsult	ants '		SHIP	MENT NO		
• (3)	664				_	DY REC					~	
# 5	664					4		~		•	1	
	PROJE	CT NAM	1E:	1000	SHAS	Air	(eft	-101	[and	- 1 - 1/4	0,8.7	
	PROJE	CT NO.:	١,	t186	30		U		_			
	T	ONE OF THE PERSON AND	of Sam	-	and the state of t		Typ	o of Pr	eservation	81_		
Sample Number	Location	Materi		thod	Type of	Container	Temp		hemical	- Analys	sis Required *	
RI-1-1-3		Soil		15	Riss	Tube	1221			A	· Olawled	+
-1-1-4				i		1	1		1	Co	LE IN.	
-1.2-3												
-1-2-4							\bot	<u> </u>				
-1-3-3	1-3-	4 /		1		<u> </u>						
-1-4.3				1			4-4-	<u> </u>				
-1-7-7		↓				}						
-1-5-3	 	├ ─┼─		\longrightarrow		<u> </u>	+					
-1-2-4	 			L			+			_		
-1-6-3		 				ļ	++			 		
-1-6-9		 			3.37		+	- -				
1-7-3		┼-┼					+	 		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
02 7-1-3		+				 		-		-		1
- 7-1-4		 					+	 				1
-7 - 2 - 2	 					1	 - - - - - - - - -	1	+			1
-7-7-4	 	 					11	1	1			1
-7-2-9		 				1	11		1			1
-2-3-4			1 1						1/			
-2-4-3		1		7			V		Y]
Total Number of	Samples Sh	pped:	S	ampler's	Signatur	8				District A.A. Berner recognique des quiet in 1970.		
Relinquished By:	. ()		_ ^ ((0P	Receive	d By: _	Mara	A	7.01		Date	
Signature	em to	1	02 RC		_ Signat	1 11	yarge	TOVO	rofF	2/7	4/10/8	1
Company\	ان مین (د	1 cur	12		Comp	any		J_L	UCAS		Time /0/	.
Reason A~	whis				_			ten description of the second			1 3.(0)	1
Relinquished By:					Receive						Date ,	
Signature Printed Name												-
Company					1	any					Time	
Reason		The second secon	**************************************		-			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSONS IN CONTRACTOR OF				1
Relinquished By: Signature					Receive	•					Date /	
Printed Name											Time	-
Company					_ Comp	any		·			- Inne	
Reason					Receive	d Bu:					Date	1
Relinquished By: Signature					Signa	ture					- / /	
Printed Name					_ Printe	ed, Name_					Time	1
Company Reason					_ Comp	any						
Special Shipment				rements								1
Section Simplification		2.0.09										
									•			
* Note — This do	oes not con	istitute a	iuthoriza	tion to	pro ned v	vith analy	<u> </u>			·		إ
			. —							Ł	A 05-5181-42	*

	5664	Woo	dward-(Clyde C	onsulta	ants (IENT NO	1
¥	500	Ċ	CHAIN OF	CUSTOD	Y RECC	RD				2
	-		0. \	SLAS	1.00	M.	-Torr	DATE	410	0187
	PROJEC	T NAME:	$-\nu \omega$	SIA	17.1°	1082	10:10	mee	162-	
	PROJEC	T NO.:	418	630			·		181	-
Sample Number	Location	Type of Material	Sample Method	Type of C	Container	Type Temp	of Prese	rvation mical	Analys	is Required *
6-2-2-4-4			ems	Bus	s Tube				Δ	1. Olivery
- 2-5-3		301.	CIVIS		<u> </u>	1		1	47	Cull -I
					l					
- 2-5-4					 	 		1		
-2-6-3						1-1-				
<u>-2-6-4</u>	<u> </u>				 	1-1-				
7-7-7			-			1-1-				
						1-1-		 	1	
-1-8-3		 				++-		 	 	
<u> </u>	 		 			+	 	 		
B-3-3-1-3			 			+	 	 	 	
-3-1-4						╁┈╂┈		 	+	
<u>-3-2-3</u>								 		
-3-2-4						 - - - - - - - - -	 	<u> </u>		
-3-3-3										
-3-3-4										
SP-1							<u> </u>			
SP-2										
Reca	1									
5 +	 	11,			7	1.7		·V		
Dag L	 	 	$+$ $ \forall$ $-$	9						
Total Number of	Samples Sh	inged 2	Sampler	's Signature	::					
The state of the same of the s			(40)	Receive	10		/	1-21.		Date
Relinquished By Signature	enindage	0/2	.40	Signat	ure	Jarge	act.	tell	14	4/10/8
Printed Name	Bloken	TALL		Printe	d Name_ any	1 <i>1</i> 99	rgars	71120	<i>[</i>]	Time
Company W	est con	IST A	rupticil	Comp	any		V W			5:109
Reason_A	,			=						Date
Relinquished By:				Receive	a By: :ure					/ /
Signature Printed Name					d Name_					Time
Company				Comp	any					-
Reason							·			D
Relinquished By:				Receive	d By:					Date /
Signature				Signal	ture d Name_					
Printed Name Company				1	any					Time
Reason										
Relinquished By:				Receive						Date
Signature					ture					- [/
Printed Name					ed Name_ pany					Time
Company										
Reason Special Shipment				ts:						
Special Sn.pment	. righting	. Storage	, regarrettien							
i										
1			thorization t	o proceed s	with als	C+C				

	Wood	ward (Clyde Consult	ants 🔻	SHIPM	ENT NO.:	
	СН	AIN OF	CUSTODY REC	ORD	PAGE_	(_OF_	2
		\cap	A coult		DATE	11/2	87
PROJE	CT NAME:	1200-18	- Airciaft			<i>,</i> •	
PROJE	CT NO.:	87	4/863C-LOC			gy kannan kanan na arvan kankan kanan dan dan kanan	of Colonia and Col
Sample Number Location	Type of S Material	ample M ethod	Type of Container	Type Temp	of Preservation Chemical		Required *
7-1-3	Sil	ams	Bross Tube	TOFO	NONE	Ho	old
u u H				$\downarrow f \downarrow$			
7-2-3				+		ļ .	
" " 4	 			++-+		80	515
7-3-3				++-+			old
7 11 - 2	 -			1111			015
7-4-3							old
7-5-3						8	015
4		1				H	old
7-6-3							215
r.c. 11-4							rold
7-7-3				11		80	
7-7-4				+ I $ I$		Ho	14
7-8-1				+		-	
7-8-2	 	_		+			
7-9-3				+	-+	+	
7-9-4	+ + +			+) -			
7-10-3		-}	1	100			V
Total Number of Samples Sh	ipped: 2 S	Sampler	's Signature:		Met		
1	, ,		Received By: Signature	0 /	$\subset \Lambda$		Date
Signature Stuly	Ma		Signature	Lique	Selim		22191
Printed Name Crick Company Wood war			Printed Name	T My	Ssingen		Time
Reason							2.25
Relinquished By: Signature Charles	<u> </u>		Received By: Signature	Tuxthi	ndn		Date /2/ & /87
Drintad Nama	Dafrin		Printed Name 7	K NOSTA	rytor		
Company A T MC	12mg		Company Wo	AS, Inc	#793	5	Time 320pm
Reason			Pagained Pur			-	Date
Relinquished By: Signature			Received By: Signature				
Printed Name			Printed Name				Time
Company			Company	,,			
ReasonRelinguished By:			Received By:				Date
Signature			Signature				
Printed Name			Printed Name_ Company				Time
Company							
Special Shipment / Handling		quirement	S				
* Nov This does not con		elentine to	s proceed with analy	SIS			

Woodward-Clyde Consultants SHIPMENT NO.:_____ * PAGE ZOF Z CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD DATE 12/2/87 Douglas PROJECT NAME: 9741B63C-1000 PROJECT NO .: _ Type of Preservation Type of Sample Analysis Required * Type of Container Sample Number Location Temp Chemical Material Method Hold NONE Grass, Tobe 8TPL-1 Soil Carab FCFP Hold -2 11 8015. " Hold -4 8015 ((- 5 HO W -6 (. BOIS -7 Hold - & Total Number of Samples Shipped: フタ | Sampler's Signature: Received By: Clark Signature Date Relinquished By: 21-2187 Signature___ Printed Name Chales Schura Joseph Johns Printed Name, Time Company AT MOSSINGAL Company____ 2:125 Reason____ Relinquished By Received By: Date Signature RNorthungton Printed Name RNorthungton 121 a 187 Printed Name_ Time Company IA T West Man Company___ #7933 Reason_ Date Received By: Relinguished By: Signature_ Signature____ Printed Name_ Printed Name__ Time Company____ Company____ Reason _____ Date Received By: Relinquished By: Signature _ Signature___ Printed Name___ Printed Name ___ Time Company____ Company____ Special Shipment Handling Storage Requirements

* Nate — This does not constitute authoritation to proceed with analysis

LA UH-Úlaž-Ák

Woodward-Clyde Consultants



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

SHIPMENT NO.:_____

PAGE____OF____

DOUBLE FOR THEME DATE 12/17/57 PROJECT NAME:_ 01413-30 -1073

PROJECT NO .: _

Type of Preservation Type of Sample Analysis Required * Type of Container Location Sample Number Chemical Temp Material Method None CHIS Burt Tize Cock B-5-1-2 ا ، ھ≥ R-5.2-4 6.5-3-3 6-5-3-4 R-5-4-3 B-5-3 6-5-5-4 K-5-6-3 -5-6-4 19706 A : CE -1. 11 -2 11 11 -3 Sampler's Signature: Total Number of Samples Shipped: Date Received By: Relinquished By: Signature ___ Signature____ Printed Name_ Time Printed Name___ Company____ 11 ---Company____ #8092 Reason_____ Date Received By: Relinquished By: Signature_ Signature _____ Printed Name___ Printed Name___ Time Company___ Company____ Reason____ Date Received By: Relinquished By: Signature ___ Signature____ Printed Name___ Printed Name____ Time Company____ Reason _____ Date Received By: Relinquished By: Signature ___ Signature _____ Printed Name____ Printed Name _____ Time Company___ Company _____ Reasor _____ Special Shipment Handling Storage Requirements:

* Note — This does not constitute authorization to proceed with analysis

LA OF-PIE. 44

Woodward-Ciyde Consultants CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PAGE LOF L PROJECT NAME: DOUG AS A CLIENT DATE 1/5/88 PROJECT NO:: 874(863 C -1000) Sample Number Location Type of Sample Type of Container Temp Chemical Analysis Required*
PROJECT NAME: DOSTIF TO THE PROJECT NO.: Sample Type of Container Type of Preservation Analysis Required.
PROJECT NO.: 219(863 C - 1000) Sample Number Location Type of Sample Type of Container Type of Preservation Analysis Required*
Sample Number Location Type of Sample Type of Container Type of Preservation Analysis Required*
Sample Number Location - The State of Location - The
SP-1 Soil Grab Brass top Evil NOVE Hold
11-2
" -3 " - 4
11-5 015
Total Number of Samples Shipped 5 Sampler's Signature:
Relinquished By: Signature Signature Received By: Signature Signature Received By: Signature Signature
Signature Service Signature Nilly William 1500 Printed Name 1 1 1142 C
11000
Reason Analysis TODIS
Received By: Signature / /
Printed Name Printed Name Time
Company Company
Received By: Date ,
Signature
CompanyCompany
Reason
SignatureSignature
Printed Name Printed Name Time Company Company
Reason
Special Shipment Handling Storage Requirements:
1 4 G
• Note: — This draws not oppositute authorization to provide with positivals

APPENDIX E

LETTER TO L.A. REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

Woodward-Clyde Consultanto

4 January 1988 Project No. 8741863C

Mr. Jeff Copeland Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region 107 south Broadway, Room 4027 Los Angeles, California 90012

SUBJECT: PROPOSED REMEDIATION AT TANK ST AT THE DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY'S TORRANCE FACILITY

Dear Mr. Copeland:

The purpose of this letter is to present to the Regional Water Quality Control Board a method of remediating the petroleum hydrocarbons present in the Tank 8T excavation at the Torrance facility of Douglas Aircraft Company. The approach described in this letter was discussed at a meeting on 21 December 1987 between you, Kent Adams and Melissa Henck of Douglas Aircraft Company, and Alistaire Callender of Woodward-Clyde Consultants.

explained at the meeting and during a subsequent conference call on Wednesday, 23 December 1987 between you, Alistaire Callender and Melissa Henck, we propose excavating soil with total petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/kg, and disposing of this soil at a landfill permitted to accept it. Soil with petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations less than 1000 mg/kg may be left in place, if excavating deep enough to remove it will threaten the stability of the building adjacent to the excavation. Soil samples will be collected from the sides and bottom of the excavation, to evaluate the concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons left in place. No soil with total petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/kg will be left in place. In addition the total petroleum hydrocarbon analyses, two samples from the bottom of the excavation will analyzed by modified EPA 8015, to evaluate concentrations of BTXE in the soil.

The excavation will be backfilled with lean concrete to 5 feet below ground surface, and then brought up to the surface using clean compacted soil previously removed from the hole. The excavation will be backfilled with lean concrete to reduce settlement. If soil were to be used to backfill the hole, it would be necessary to shore the excavation prior to anyone entering the hole to run compaction tests. These tests will be necessary to confirm

Conditing Engineers Geologiano Eourgementa Scientists

Official in citrer Principal cites



4 January 1988

Mr. Jeff Copeland

that the required level of soil compaction had been achieved. With lean concrete, no compaction tests will be necessary except from the surface to a depth of two feet. No shoring is required in an excavation of this depth.

Soil put back into the excavation will have petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations less than 100 mg/kg. Most of the soil already excavated contains hydrocarbon concentrations of less than 10 mg/kg. Once the excavation has been filled and compacted, a low permeability asphalt cover will be put in place. This asphalt cover will incorporate a fabric liner, which will increase its strength and reduce its water permeability.

The bottom of the excavation will be sampled, and the samples analyzed for hydrocarbons, prior to backfilling. The results of the soil analyses and compaction tests will be submitted to the LARWQCB for review after the job has been completed. The Board will be kept verbally appraised of the remediation as it progresses.

Very truly yours,

Alestan Pallade

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS

Alistaire Callender, Ph.D.

Project Manager
(ABC/Copeland)

AC:1k

cc: Janet Bell, Douglas Aircraft Company
Ben Warren, Douglas Aircraft Company
Bob Fassbender, Douglas Aircraft Company

203 North Golden Circle Drive Santa Ana CA 92705 ~14) 835-6886 ,213-581-7164 Telex 68-3420

Woodward-Clyde Consultants

January 18, 1988 Project No. 8741863C

Mr. Jeff Copeland California Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region 107 South Broadway, Room 4027 Los Angeles, California 90012

SUBJECT: REMEDIATION PROPOSAL FOR TANK ST EXCAVATION AT DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY'S TORRANCE (C6) FACILITY

Dear Mr. Copeland:

I would like to confirm your approval of the remedial measures proposed for the Tank 8T excavation at Douglas Aircraft Company's Torrance (C6) facility. The plan approved by you was described in the letter of December 28, 1987 submitted to the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Your approval was given via a telephone call on December 31, 1987.

There exists some ambiguity as to what levels of petroleum hydrocarbon RWQCB may consider a threat to ground water. Regardless, Douglas Aircraft Company intends to proceed conservatively in the instance and tentatively plans on removing soil with hydrocarbon concentrations greater than 100 mg/kg.

Sincerely yours,

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS

Alistaire Callender, Ph.D.

Project Manager

Alestan Ralleder

AC:1k (ABC/ABC-002)

cc: Janet Bell, Douglas Aircraft Company
 Melissa Henck, Douglas Aircraft Company
 Bob Fassbender, McDonnell Douglas
 Kent Adams, Douglas Aircraft Company

Consulting Engineers. Geologists and Environmental Scientists

Officer of Other Principal Oiles

